#### ALTERNATIVES

A. FBIS to become a part of State Department.

#### PRO

New image abroad, disassociated from intelligence.

Greater acceptability to and allowed to retain ambassadors and host govern-separate service identity ments, less of a target for analogous to USIA. political opposition.

Widest range of possible locations overseas.

State could, if it would, provide the necessary resources and support, and deals daily with the problems of an overseas organization.

Affiliation with one of our major consumers.

## CON

Loss of independence and clout unless tied in at Assistant Secretary level and allowed to retain separate service identity analogous to USIA.

Staffing by Foreign Service officers whose careers are not centered on FBIS could lead to loss of operational focus and dilute professionalism.

Vulnerability to pressures to tailor reporting and dissemination to comport with policy interests.

Budget and staffing would probably have lower priority and be subjected to greater bureaucratic requirements, loss of resource support and flexibility we have enjoyed in CIA.

25X1

Printing of Daily Report and JPRS translations would probably go to GPO entailing crippling delay. B. FBIS to become part of USIA.

PRO

As with State Department.

Sister service of VOA.

COM

As with State Department option except that problem of remaining free of policy interference would be more severe. The country desks at State need and appreciate full reporting from foreign media sources; the primary mission of USIA is to influence foreign opinion.

C. 1	Affiliation	with	the	Department	of	Defense.
------	-------------	------	-----	------------	----	----------

PRO	
	ntion with an unt consumer.
Agcess	to funds and slots.
Better	access to military

bases overseas.

CON

FBIS identification with intelligence would remain.

The U.S. military presence overseas is shrinking; FBIS would be exposed to antimilitary pressures abroad; sponsorship would be lacking in many areas of the world, including the Middle East, South America and Africa.

25X1

Our media monitoring probably would be tilted more toward military and SeT targets at the expense of political and economic collection.

-3-

D. FBIS to become an independent U.S. Government agency.

## PRO

Disassociation with the CIA FBIS by itself would be too image abroad. FBIS by itself would be too

Collection, dissemination, and analysis would be free of policy interference.

## CON

FBIS by itself would be too small to deal effectively with the State and Defense Departments and embassies and military commands abroad, and to seek its own appropriations; to provide all of its own support would be inefficient.

FBIS would be isolated from its major consumers and have a very limited career service. E. FBIS to come under Congressional sponsorship associated with the Library of Congress or an organization such as the Board of International Broadcasting which was established to oversee Radio Free Europe and Radio Liberty.

## PRO

A new image associated with Library of Congress collections of foreign publications and disassociated from intelligence.

A closer association with the academic world.

# CON

Isolation from our major consumers and a blurring of focus and priorities, seriously diluting our responsiveness to the needs of the intelligence community, which would proceed to replicate FBIS for its purposes.

No experience with or administrative support for an overseas operation.

FBIS has less in common with Congressional functions than with any alternative affiliation.